QCD phase diagram at finite baryon and isospin chemical potentials in PQM model with vector interaction

Hiroshi Ueda (Kyoto.U)

In collaboration with T. Z. Nakano(YITP Kyoto U.,Kyoto U.), A. Ohnishi(YITP Kyoto U.), M. Ruggieri(U. of Catania), and K. Sumiyoshi(Numazu College of Tech.)

HU, T.Z.Nakano, A.Ohnishi, M.Ruggieri and K.Sumiyoshi, PRD 88,074006(2013) A.Ohnishi, HU, T.Z.Nakano, M.Ruggieri and K.Sumiyoshi, PLB 704, 284(2011)

27th Texas Symposium, December 8-13, 2013, Dallas, TX

Quark matter in compact astrophysical phenomena

Neutron star core

$$= 10w T and high Q$$
(~10⁻⁴ MeV, a few times of Q₀)

Core comosition

- Hybrid Stars, Strange Quark Stars Bodmer('71), Witten('84)
- \rightarrow M-R relation...



Quark matter in compact astrophysical phenomena

• From the core-collapse explosion, we may get information on the QCD phase transition.



QCD phase diagram

- Compact star phen. would involve QCD p.t. at high T and/or p. →QCD phase diagram
- QCD critical point connects crossover and first-order phase boundaries.



- QCD phase transition in compact star matter is closely related to recent nuclear physics experiments
 - Symmetry energy studies in FRIB
 - \rightarrow Isospin asymmetric (N>Z) matter in Compact Star phenomena
 - Critical point search at RHIC
 - \rightarrow Order of the phase transition affects the EoS and dynamics



• In particular, for supernovae and BH formations,

Out of beta equilibrium $\delta \mu \neq \mu_{\rm e}/2$

 $\delta \mu$ appear as another independent variable

It is necessary to consider $\delta \mu$ dependence of the QCD phase diagram in order to discuss the QCD phase transition in compact stars.

QCD phase diagram in Asym. Matter



Previous works to study $\delta\mu$ dependence of the QCD phase diagram

Kogut et. al ('04) [Lattice QCD], Abuki et. al., ('05)[NJL] ('08)[PNJL],

Sasaki et. al.,('10)[PNJL], Kamikado et.al, ('12)[quark meson model + FRG]

• In this work

- We investigate the isospin chemical potential dependence of the QCD phase diagram by using PQM model,
- and discuss the order of the chiral phase transition in the neutron star core

Methods and Results

Polyakov loop extended Quark Meson model

Schaefer et.al., ('07), Skokov et.al.,('10)

PQM is a Nambu-Jona-Lasinio like QCD effective model which has the chiral symmetry and confinement property.



Polyakov loop extended Quark Meson model

Schaefer et.al., ('07), Skokov et.al.,('10)

• PQM Lagrangian $\mathcal{L} = \bar{q} \left[i \gamma^{\mu} D_{\mu} - g(\sigma + i \gamma_{5} \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi}) - g_{\omega} \gamma^{\mu} \omega_{\mu} - g_{\rho} \gamma^{\mu} \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \boldsymbol{R}_{\mu} \right] q$ $+ \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mu} \sigma)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mu} \boldsymbol{\pi})^{2} - U(\sigma, \boldsymbol{\pi})$ $- \frac{1}{4} \omega_{\mu\nu} \omega^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} \boldsymbol{R}_{\mu\nu} \cdot \boldsymbol{R}^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} m_{v}^{2} (\omega_{\mu} \omega^{\mu} + \boldsymbol{R}_{\mu} \cdot \boldsymbol{R}^{\mu})$ $- \mathcal{U}(P, \bar{P}, T)$

PQM property.

- DOF = quarks, order parameters(σ , P), vector fields(ω , ϱ)
- Inputs = Vacuum hadron masses and condensate, lattice data of the Polyakov loop.
- Free parameters = vector meson coupling with quarks
- Mean field approximation
- No nucleon DOF are included

$3D(T, \mu B, \delta \mu)$ QCD phase diagram



10

QCD phase transition in NS core



hadronic EoS parameter sets of TM1, used in Shen EoS, [Sugahara et.al.,('94)]

- bulk properties of normal and neutron rich nuclei- nuclear matter saturation properties.

QCD phase transition in NS core



hadronic EoS parameter sets of TM1, used in Shen EoS, [Sugahara et.al.,('94)]

- bulk properties of normal and neutron rich nuclei- nuclear matter saturation properties.

QCD phase transition in NS core



hadronic EoS parameter sets of TM1, used in Shen EoS, [Sugahara et.al.,('94)]bulk properties of normal and neutron rich nuclei- nuclear matter saturation properties.

 $\delta\mu$ effects may make the QCD p.t. crossover in NS core, even if the transition in sym. matter is the first order.



We show the possibility that the QCD phase transition in compact astrophysical phenomena may become crossover because of $\delta\mu$ effects on the QCD phase transition.

• We have investigated the QCD phase transition in isospin asymmetric matter using PQM. Specifically, we have discussed isospin chemical potential dependence of the QCD phase boundaries.

 \Rightarrow we show reduces the temperature of the QCD critical point, and for large $\delta\mu$, the critical point is found to disappear.

• We have also discussed the order of the chiral phase transition in NS from the comparison of the QCD phase diagram in PQM and the beta equilibrium line in RMF.

 \Rightarrow In NS, $\delta\mu$ is large, then the temperature of the CP becomes lower. Therefore the chiral phase transition may be crossover, even if the transition in symmetric matter ($\delta\mu$ = 0) is the first order.

• In order to discuss the QCD phase transition in compact astrophysical phenomena more precisely, we need the EOS which includes both baryonic and quark degrees of freedom.

Thank you for your attention!

Back up

Previous Works

 μ_{L_e} [MeV]

300

400

60

40

20

χSB

100

NQ

2SC

200



0 300 350 400 450 500 µ [MeV] $3D(T, \mu, \mu)$ QCD phase diagram -PNJL (NF=3) with neutrino trapping effect and color superconductivity

 $3D(T, \mu, \delta\mu)$ QCD phase diagram -PNJL(NF=2) with pion condensation

17

CFL

Order Parameter

Chiral condensate

SSB of chiral symmetry • • Chiral condensate • • •

•
$$SU(N_f)_L \times SU(N_f)_R \Rightarrow SU(N_f)_R$$

 $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle = \langle \bar{q}_L q_R + \bar{q}_R q_L \rangle$

$$\langle \bar{q}q \rangle \begin{cases} = 0 & (\text{chirally symmetric phase}) \\ \neq 0 & (\text{chirally broken phase}) \end{cases}$$

Polyakov loop

$$P = \frac{1}{N_c} \left\langle \operatorname{Tr}_c \mathcal{P} \exp\left(i \int_0^\beta d\tau A_4\right) \right\rangle_\beta$$

Without dynamical quark,
$$P = \exp(-\beta f_q) \to \Phi \begin{cases} \neq 0 & \text{(deconfinement phase)} \\ = 0 & \text{(confinement phase)} \end{cases}$$

Potential

Mesonic Potential

$$U(\sigma, \boldsymbol{\pi}) = \lambda (\sigma^2 + \boldsymbol{\pi}^2 - v^2)^2 / 4 - h\sigma$$

SU(N_f)_L × SU(N_f)_R Explicitly symmetry
braking term

• Polyakov loop potential Roessner et.al., ('07), Fukushima et.al.,('04) $\mathcal{U}[P,\bar{P},T] = T^4 \left\{ -\frac{a(T)}{2}\bar{P}P + b(T)\ln H(P,\bar{P}) \right\},$ $H(P,\bar{P}) = 1 - 6\bar{P}P + 4(\bar{P}^3 + P^3) - 3(\bar{P}P)^2$ comes from the Haar measure of the group integral $a(T) = a_0 + a_1(T_0/T) + a_2(T_0/T)^2, \quad b(T) = b_3(T_0/T)^3$ $\implies \text{The parameter are fitted to the pure gauge lattice data}$

Effective potential

• Integrating over the quark fields results in

$$\begin{split} \Omega_{PQM} =& \mathcal{U}(\bar{P},\bar{P},T) + U(\sigma,\boldsymbol{\pi}=0) + \Omega_0 + \Omega_T, \\ \Omega_0 =& -2N_f N_c \int \frac{d\boldsymbol{p}}{(2\pi)^3} E_p \theta \left(\Lambda^2 - \boldsymbol{p}^2\right), \\ \Omega_T =& -\frac{1}{2} \left(m_\omega^2 \omega^2 + m_\rho^2 R^2\right) - 2T \sum_f \int \frac{d\boldsymbol{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \log \left(F_-^f F_+^f\right), \\ F_-^f =& 1 + 3P e^{-\beta \mathcal{E}_-^f} + 3\bar{P} e^{-2\beta \mathcal{E}_-^f} + e^{-3\beta \mathcal{E}_-^f}, \quad F_+^f =& 1 + 3\bar{P} e^{-\beta \mathcal{E}_+^f} + 3P e^{-2\beta \mathcal{E}_+^f} + e^{-3\beta \mathcal{E}_+^f}, \\ \mathcal{E}_{\pm}^f =& E_p \pm \tilde{\mu}_f \ E_p = \sqrt{\boldsymbol{p}^2 + M^2}, \quad M = g\sigma \;. \end{split}$$

• The EoMs are obtained from the stationary condistions, $\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \sigma} = \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial P} = \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \bar{P}} = \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \omega} = \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial R} = 0$ By solving these equations, we obtain (T, µB,δµ) dependence of the mean fields

Model parametrization

 Scalar-pseudoscalar part (g, λ, ν, h) fixed to reproduce in vacuum for Λ = 600 MeV
 225 MeV = f m = 225 MeV = h/f (12)

 $m_q = g\sigma = 335 \text{MeV}, \ \sigma = f_{\pi}, \ m_{\sigma} = \partial^2 \Omega / \partial \sigma^2 = 700 \text{MeV}, \ m_{\pi}^2 = h / f_{\pi} (139 \text{MeV})^2$

- Vector part for simplisity $\Rightarrow g_{\omega} = g_{\rho} = g_{v}, \quad m_{\omega} = m_{\rho} = m_{v} = 770 \text{ MeV}$ we regard $r = g_{v}/g$ as free parameter.
- Polyakov loop part [Roesner et.al.,('07)] fitted to the pure gauge lattice data. $a_0 = 3.51, a_1 = -2.47, a_2 = 15.2, b_3 = -1.75, T_0 = 270 \text{MeV}$

•21

• Here, Nf and µB dependence is not considered.

δµ dependence of QCD phase diagram

- The reduction of the μ_{BC} may be understood as the density effects.
 For a simple estimate...
 - low T, chiral limit, without vector interaction $\rho_q \propto (\mu + \delta\mu)^3 + (\mu - \delta\mu)^3 = 2\mu^3(1 + 3\delta\mu^2/\mu^2)$ If QCD phase transition at finite $\delta\mu$ occurs same density as that for $\delta\mu=0$ $\mu \simeq \mu_c - \delta\mu^2/\mu_c$ · · · for $\delta\mu = 50$ MeV $\Rightarrow \mu c - \mu = 7.2$ MeV (7.0MeV) · · · for $\delta\mu = 70$ MeV $\Rightarrow \mu c - \mu = 14$ MeV (13MeV)

r dependence of the QCD phase diagram

