

**Exact solution of Einstein's
angular momentum constraint
in the angular-momentum sector of matter
on the past light cone:**



**Exact dragging of inertial axes by
cosmic energy currents (Mach's principle)**

Christoph Schmid, ETH Zurich

27th Texas Symposium on Relativistic Astrophysics
December 8-13, 2013, Dallas, Texas

Inertial Axes \Leftrightarrow Spin Axes of Gyroscopes

- ▶ **Experimentally** spin axes of **gyroscopes** directly give time-evolution of **local inertial axes**: inertial guidance systems.

Inertial Axes \Leftrightarrow Spin Axes of Gyroscopes

- ▶ **Experimentally** spin axes of **gyroscopes** directly give time-evolution of **local inertial axes**: inertial guidance systems.
- ▶ Argument in opposite direction:
In the **local inertial frame** of a gyroscope:
no gyroscope-precession.

Super-precise observational fact

- ▶ Spin-axes of gyroscopes do not rotate **relative to quasars**, except extremely small dragging effect by Earth rotation: **Lense - Thirring effect**, detected by **Gravity Probe B**.
- ▶ Gyros at a few Earth radii: Dragging by Earth **negligible**.

Mach's Principle: **The Question**

- ▶ **WHAT PHYSICAL CAUSE:**
determines the **time-evolution of gyroscope axes**,
i.e. the **time-evolution of inertial axes** ?

Mach's Principle: **The Question**

- ▶ **WHAT PHYSICAL CAUSE:**
determines the **time-evolution of gyroscope axes**,
i.e. the **time-evolution of inertial axes** ?
- ▶ John A. Wheeler: “**Who gives the marching orders**”
to gyroscope axes (= inertial axes)?

The Postulate formulated by Mach

- ▶ Inertial axes **exactly follow** an **average** of the motion of cosmological masses: **exact frame dragging**.
-

The Postulate formulated by Mach

- ▶ Inertial axes **exactly follow** an **average** of the motion of cosmological masses: **exact frame dragging**.
-
- ▶ Newton's gravitational force: no torque on a gyroscope. Mach wrote: unknown, **what new force** could do the job. General Relativity: **gravito-magnetism** \Rightarrow Lense-Thirring.

The Postulate formulated by Mach

- ▶ Inertial axes **exactly follow** an **average** of the motion of cosmological masses: **exact frame dragging**.
-
- ▶ Newton's gravitational force: no torque on a gyroscope. Mach wrote: unknown, **what new force** could do the job. General Relativity: **gravito-magnetism** \Rightarrow Lense-Thirring.
 - ▶ Mach did not know, **what average** of cosmological masses and their motions should be taken.

Mach's Principle: **Our Results**

- ▶ **Our old results**, published in Phys. Rev. 2006 and 2009:

—————
exact dragging of inertial axes (Mach's Principle)
for all linear perturbations on all FRW backgrounds.
—————

Mach's Principle: **Our Results**

- ▶ **Our old results**, published in Phys. Rev. 2006 and 2009:

—————
exact dragging of inertial axes (Mach's Principle)
for **all linear perturbations** on **all FRW backgrounds**.

- ▶ **Our new results**, unpublished:

—————
Exact angular momentum constraint equation
for matter angular-momentum on **past light-cone**:
E X A C T Einstein equation: L I N E A R ord. diff. eq.

—————
Mach's postulate \Rightarrow **L I N E A R** relation input, output.

Significance: Is rotation absolute or relative?

- ▶ **L O C A L analysis** in laboratory, solar system, galaxy:
 - ▶ **Analysis in solar system: Newton correct with ABSOLUTE-UNAMBIGUOUS non-rotating frame.**
 - ▶ **General Relativity of solar system** (e.g. perihelion shift):
Local non-rotating frames:
ABSOLUTE-UNAMBIGUOUS,
Asymptotic nonrotating frame: **observational input,**
theoretically:
ABSOLUTE-NO-CAUSE-input-by-hand
via spatial boundary condition,
GR in solar system is not a “Theory of Relativity”.
-

Significance: Is rotation absolute or relative?

- ▶ **L O C A L analysis** in laboratory, solar system, galaxy:
- ▶ **Analysis in solar system: Newton correct with ABSOLUTE-UNAMBIGUOUS non-rotating frame.**
- ▶ **General Relativity of solar system** (e.g. perihelion shift):
Local non-rotating frames:
ABSOLUTE-UNAMBIGUOUS,
Asymptotic nonrotating frame: **observational input,**
theoretically:
ABSOLUTE-NO-CAUSE-input-by-hand
via spatial boundary condition,
GR in solar system is not a “Theory of Relativity”.

- ▶ **G L O B A L C O S M O L O G I C A L analysis:**
- ▶ Local non-rotating system is **nonrotating RELATIVE** to average of cosmic matter:
Cosmological GR is a “Theory of Relativity”.

NON-PERTURBATIVE cosmological geometry

- ▶ Spirit of **Riemann normal coord.** on arbitrary geometry.
- ▶ **Observation** event P_0 : **World-lines of photons** observed give **radial coordinate lines** on past light-cone (LC).
- ▶ **Observer at rest relative to asymptotic quasars**
⇔ **no dipole term** in measured **Hubble velocities**.

NON-PERTURBATIVE cosmological geometry

- ▶ Spirit of **Riemann normal coord.** on arbitrary geometry.
- ▶ **Observation** event P_0 : **World-lines of photons** observed give **radial coordinate lines** on past light-cone (LC).
- ▶ **Observer at rest relative to asymptotic quasars**
⇔ **no dipole term** in measured **Hubble velocities**.
- ▶ **Minkowski corridor** along photon world-line arriving at P_0 :
Local Minkowski Coordinate System at P_0 **extended along photon world-line** gives **spatial separation** in **frame of observer** (astronomer's luminosity distance),

$$P \Rightarrow \text{coordinate } r_P \text{ with } g_{rr} = 1.$$

NON-PERTURBATIVE cosmological geometry

- ▶ Spirit of **Riemann normal coord.** on arbitrary geometry.
- ▶ **Observation** event P_0 : **World-lines of photons** observed give **radial coordinate lines** on past light-cone (LC).
- ▶ **Observer at rest relative to asymptotic quasars**
 \Leftrightarrow **no dipole term** in measured **Hubble velocities**.
- ▶ **Minkowski corridor** along photon world-line arriving at P_0 : Local Minkowski Coordinate System at P_0 **extended along photon world-line** gives **spatial separation** in **frame of observer** (astronomer's luminosity distance),
 $P \Rightarrow$ **coordinate** r_P with $g_{rr} = 1$.
- ▶ Choose **polar quasar** and **zero-meridian quasar**
 $P \Rightarrow$ **coordinates** (θ_P, ϕ_P) .
- ▶ **Equilateral triangles, infinitely narrow**: two **neighboring** photon world-lines with equal spatial distances $r_{OP} = r_{OP'}$ generate **2-spheres orthogonal** to photon world-lines:

$$g_{r\theta} = 0, \quad g_{r\phi} = 0.$$

Gyroscope precession

⇒ **toroidal vorticity sector for cosmic matter flow**

- ▶ **Non-perturbative** treatment. **Exact fields.**
- ▶ On **one** past light-cone: decomposition in **3-scalar, 3-vector, 3-tensor sectors** rigorously valid.
- ▶ On **one** past light-cone: We **neglect tensor sector.**
- ▶ **Scalar sector** ⇒ **no gyroscope-precession** ⇒ **neglect it.**

Gyroscope precession

⇒ toroidal vorticity sector for cosmic matter flow

- ▶ **Non-perturbative** treatment. **Exact fields.**
- ▶ On **one** past light-cone: decomposition in **3-scalar, 3-vector, 3-tensor sectors** rigorously valid.
- ▶ On **one** past light-cone: We **neglect tensor sector.**
- ▶ **Scalar sector** ⇒ **no gyroscope-precession** ⇒ **neglect it.**
- ▶ Gyroscope precession at P_O caused only by:
 vector sector (divergence-free) \equiv vorticity sector
 with $\mathbf{J}^P = \mathbf{1}^+$ ⇒ **toroidal vorticity, relative to P_O .**
- ▶ focus on $m = 0$. With $m = \pm 1$ following trivially.

Gyroscope precession

⇒ toroidal vorticity sector for cosmic matter flow

- ▶ **Non-perturbative** treatment. **Exact fields.**
- ▶ On **one** past light-cone: decomposition in **3-scalar, 3-vector, 3-tensor sectors** rigorously valid.
- ▶ On **one** past light-cone: We **neglect tensor sector.**
- ▶ **Scalar sector** ⇒ **no gyroscope-precession** ⇒ **neglect it.**
- ▶ Gyroscope precession at P_O caused only by:
vector sector (divergence-free) \equiv vorticity sector
with $\mathbf{J}^P = \mathbf{1}^+$ ⇒ **toroidal vorticity, relative to P_O .**
- ▶ focus on $m = 0$. With $m = \pm 1$ following trivially.
- ▶ **Vector spher. harmonics**, toroidal sector:

$$(V_{\ell=1, m=0}^{(P=+)})^\phi = \text{constant}, \quad (V_{\ell=1, m=0}^{(P=+)})^\theta = 0,$$

give **angular velocity** of rigid rotation around **z-axis**.

Light cones: Intrinsic geometry UNPERTURBED by strong toroidal vorticity

- ▶ **Measure circumference C_P** of 2-sphere through P .
For **simplicity** in this talk, **assume** that $(C_P/r_P) = 2\pi \Rightarrow$
measured light-cone indistinguishable from **light cones**
in Minkowski and **in spatially flat FRW**.

Light cones: Intrinsic geometry UNPERTURBED by strong toroidal vorticity

- ▶ **Measure circumference C_P** of 2-sphere through P .
For **simplicity** in this talk, **assume** that $(C_P/r_P) = 2\pi \Rightarrow$ **measured light-cone** indistinguishable from **light cones in Minkowski** and in **spatially flat FRW**.
- ▶ **Tensor spherical harmonics** with **toroidal vorticity**,

$$(T_{\ell=1, m=0}^{(P=+)})_{\alpha\beta} = 0.$$

Metric of 2-spheres must be unperturbed metric,

$$g_{\theta\phi} = 0, \quad g_{\theta\theta} = r^2, \quad g_{\phi\phi} = r^2 \sin^2 \theta.$$

- ▶ **INTRINSIC 3-GEOMETRY** of every light-cone (LC) with **ARBITRARY VORTICITY** fields:
 \Rightarrow **EQUAL** to **UNPERTURBED** intrinsic geometry of LC in **Minkowski** and LC in **spatially flat FRW**.

From one light cone to a neighbouring one: SHIFT

- ▶ **Lapse** function (elapsed measured time between slices at fixed χ) is **unperturbed**, because it is a 3-scalar.
- ▶ **Only quantity** referring to toroidal vorticity: **shift-3-vector**

$$\ell = 1, m = 0: \quad \beta^\phi \equiv \beta(\mathbf{v}, \chi), \quad \beta^\theta = 0, \quad \beta^x = 0.$$

From one light cone to a neighbouring one: SHIFT

- ▶ **Lapse** function (elapsed measured time between slices at fixed χ) is **unperturbed**, because it is a 3-scalar.
- ▶ **Only quantity** referring to toroidal vorticity: **shift-3-vector**

$$\ell = 1, m = 0: \quad \beta^\phi \equiv \beta(\mathbf{v}, \chi), \quad \beta^\theta = 0, \quad \beta^x = 0.$$

- ▶ Hubble $H(r)$ measured

$$\text{comoving coordinate difference} \equiv d\chi \equiv \mathbf{a}^{-1} d\mathbf{r},$$

at fixed comoving distance: measured time diff. $\equiv dt$,

$$\text{conformal time difference} \equiv d\eta \equiv \mathbf{a}^{-1} dt.$$

- ▶ **Light-cone coordinates** ($\mathbf{v}, \chi, \theta, \phi$) and **metric**:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{v} &\equiv \eta + \chi &\Rightarrow & \mathbf{v} \text{ labels past light-cone,} \\ ds^2 &= \mathbf{a}^2[-d\mathbf{v}^2 + 2d\mathbf{v} d\chi + \chi^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2) \\ &\quad + 2\beta_\phi \chi^2 \sin^2\theta d\phi d\mathbf{v}]. \end{aligned}$$

Exact angular momentum constraint for toroidal vorticity on past light-cone of observation

- ▶ **Exact Einstein tensor** for vorticity with $(\ell = 1, m = 0)$,

$$\begin{aligned} 2a^4 \mathbf{G}_{(1)}^{v\phi} &= \\ &= \partial_{\chi}^2 \beta + (\partial_{\chi} \beta) (4\chi^{-1} - 2\mathcal{H}) + \beta (4\mathcal{H}' - 4\mathcal{H}^2), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{H} \equiv a^{-1}(da/d\eta)$ and $\mathcal{H}' \equiv (d\mathcal{H}/d\eta)$.

Exact angular momentum constraint for toroidal vorticity on past light-cone of observation

- ▶ **Exact Einstein tensor** for vorticity with ($\ell = 1, m = 0$),

$$\begin{aligned} 2a^4 G_{(1)}^{\nu\phi} &= \\ &= \partial_\chi^2 \beta + (\partial_\chi \beta) (4\chi^{-1} - 2\mathcal{H}) + \beta (4\mathcal{H}' - 4\mathcal{H}^2), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{H} \equiv a^{-1}(da/d\eta)$ and $\mathcal{H}' \equiv (d\mathcal{H}/d\eta)$.

- ▶ **Matter evolution:** If p/ρ approximated time-independent, $a_0 \equiv 1, \eta_0 \equiv 1$: $\mathbf{a} = \eta^{\mathbf{P}} \Rightarrow \mathcal{H} = \mathbf{P} \eta^{-1}$.

Equation for Green function away from δ -function shell,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\eta^2 \beta - (\partial_\eta \beta) [4(1 - \eta)^{-1} - 2\mathbf{P}\eta^{-1}] \\ - 4\beta (\mathbf{P} + \mathbf{P}^2) \eta^{-2} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

from $\eta = 0$ (big bang) to $\eta = 1$ (observation): Singular points of regular type, solutions with algebraic singularities.

Numerical solution of linear diff. eq. of 2nd order needed.

General Relativity \Rightarrow Gravitomagnetism

- ▶ Work on **Uniform-Radial-Hubble-Expansion Slices**
- ▶ **Operational definitions** via measurements by **Fiducial Observers (FIDOs)** at rest on slice with **Local Ortho-Normal Bases (LONBs)** denoted by **hats**.
- ▶ Op. Def. of **gravitoelectric field** $\vec{E}_g \equiv \vec{g}$:

$$\frac{d}{dt} p_{\hat{i}} \equiv m E_{\hat{i}}^g \quad \text{free-falling **quasistatic** test particle,}$$

- ▶ Op. Def. of **gravitomagnetic field** \vec{B}_g :

$$\Omega_{\hat{i}}^{\text{gyro}} \equiv -\frac{1}{2} B_{\hat{i}}^g \quad \text{precession of gyro comoving with FIDO.}$$

- ▶ **Gravitomagnetic vector potential** \vec{A}_g : $\vec{B}_g = \text{curl } \vec{A}_g$
it follows : $\vec{A}_g = \vec{\beta} \equiv$ **shift vector**.

Einstein's $G_{\hat{k}}^{\hat{0}}$ Eq.: Angular Momentum Constraint

- ▶ **NEW:** Angular momentum constraints for **Uniform-Radial-Expansion Slices** are **form-identical** for slices which are spatially flat, hyperbolic, or spherical,

$$(-\Delta + \mu^2) \vec{A}_g = -16\pi G_N \vec{J}_\varepsilon,$$

$$(\mu/2)^2 \equiv -(dH/dt) \equiv (H\text{-dot radius})^{-2},$$

$\vec{J}_\varepsilon =$ **energy current density = momentum density**

- ▶ **NEW:** Same as Ampère's law for stationary magnetism except $\mu^2 \vec{A}_g \Rightarrow$ **Yukawa suppression ($H\text{-dot radius}$)**. **Elliptic equation** (no partial time-derivatives of perturbations) **for time-dependent gravitomagnetism.**

Einstein's $G_{\hat{k}}^{\hat{0}}$ Eq.: Angular Momentum Constraint

- ▶ **NEW:** Angular momentum constraints for **Uniform-Radial-Expansion Slices** are **form-identical** for slices which are spatially flat, hyperbolic, or spherical,

$$(-\Delta + \mu^2) \vec{A}_g = -16\pi G_N \vec{J}_\varepsilon,$$

$$(\mu/2)^2 \equiv -(dH/dt) \equiv (H\text{-dot radius})^{-2},$$

$\vec{J}_\varepsilon =$ **energy current density = momentum density**

- ▶ **NEW:** Same as Ampère's law for stationary magnetism except $\mu^2 \vec{A}_g \Rightarrow$ **Yukawa suppression ($H\text{-dot radius})$. Elliptic equation** (no partial time-derivatives of perturbations) **for time-dependent gravitomagnetism.**
- ▶ **NEW:** $\vec{J}_{\hat{k}}^\varepsilon = T_{\hat{k}}^{\hat{0}}$ is **measurable input** without prior knowledge of g_{0i} , which is output. T_k^0 **not** measurable.
- ▶ **NEW** in cosmology: **Laplacian** on Vector Fields in Riemannian 3-Spaces is **de Rham - Hodge Laplacian.**

Solution of the Angular Momentum Constraint

- ▶ **Identical expressions** for solutions of cosmological gravitomagnetism on **Uniform-Radial-Expansion slices**, which are **spatially open**,

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{B}_g(\mathbf{P}) &= -2 \vec{\Omega}_{\text{gyro}}(\mathbf{P}) = \\ &= -4 G_N \int d(\text{vol}_Q) [\vec{n}_{PQ} \times \vec{J}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{Q})] Y_\mu(r_{PQ}) \\ Y_\mu(r) &= \frac{-d}{dr} \left[\frac{1}{R} \exp(-\mu r) \right] = \text{Yukawa force}\end{aligned}$$

r = radial distance, $2\pi R$ = circumference of great circle.

- ▶ **NEW:** Solution **form-invariant** if one goes to **rotating frame** \Leftrightarrow **Only relative motion** of quasars and gyroscope spins is **relevant**.

Bottom Line: Exact Dragging of Inertial Axes

Mach's Principle holds for Vorticity, strong or weak

- ▶ Inertial axes **exactly follow** the **weighted average of cosmic energy currents** (in all reference frames):

$$\vec{\Omega}_{\text{gyro}} = \langle \vec{\Omega}_{\text{matter}} \rangle \equiv \int_0^\infty d\mathbf{r} \vec{\Omega}_{\text{matter}}(\mathbf{r}) W(\mathbf{r}),$$

$$W(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{3} 16\pi G_{\text{N}}(\rho + p) R^3 Y_\mu(\mathbf{r}).$$

This is the **exact solution** for vorticity on **Uniform-Radial-Expansion Slices** which are **spatially open**.

- ▶ Resulting weight function $W(\mathbf{r})$ in **exact solution normalized to unity** \Leftrightarrow **exact rotational frame-dragging**.