# GR Precession and Debris Circularization in TDEs

#### NICHOLAS STONE – COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY

- 12/10/13 - UT DALLAS -

#### HAYASAKI, STONE & LOEB 2013

STONE, HAYASAKI & LOEB (IN PREP.)

### **Tidal Disruption of Stars**

- Laboratory for accretion/ jet astrophysics
  - Super-Eddington flows
  - Jet launching mechanisms
- Unique probe of quiescent galactic nuclei
  - SMBH mass, spin from *lightcurve, SED*
  - Stellar dynamics from *rate*, *inferred pericenter*



(Wikimedia Commons)

# **Tidal Disruption Basics**

- Tidal radius:  $R_t = R_* \sqrt[3]{M_{BH}} / M_*$
- Only SMBHs with  $\rm M_{BH}{<}10^8~M_{\odot}$  can disrupt solar-type stars

• Unless the SMBH is spinning rapidly (Kesden 11)

- Strength of tidal encounter defined by penetration factor β=R<sub>t</sub>/R<sub>p</sub>
   1<β<47 for SMBHs; equivalently 1<R<sub>p</sub>/R<sub>g</sub><47</li>
- Lightcurve often assumed to follow: L ∝ M ∝ t<sup>-5/3</sup>
   At early times, numerical models for dM/dt necessary (Lodato+09, Guillochon & Ramirez-Ruiz 13)
  - o dM/dt encodes stellar parameters

### **Circularization of Tidal Debris**

- Has not been simulated for e=1 TDEs around SMBHs
   Critical for understanding early phase of light curve
- Two hypothesized shock formation mechanisms:
- Nozzle at pericenter (vertical shocks)
  - Seen in e=1 star-IMBH TDEs (Ramirez-Ruiz & Rosswog 09, Guillochon+13)
- Relativistic precession, debris stream self-intersection (Rees 88)
  - o Semi-analytic model Kochanek 94
  - Seen in e=0.8 star-SMBH SPH simulations (Hayasaki, Stone & Loeb 13)



# Physical Picture: Schwarzschild SMBH

- Apsidal precession causes stream self-intersection at R<sub>si</sub>
- Large angle shocks occur unless intersection R<sub>si</sub>≈R<sub>apo</sub>



(Stone, Hayasaki & Loeb in prep)

#### Physical Picture: Kerr SMBH

- Misaligned SMBH spin χ<sub>BH</sub> breaks orbital plane symmetry
- Lense-Thirring torques cause nodal precession of orbital plane
- Debris streams miss each other; shocks prevented

(Stone, Hayasaki & Loeb in prep)



### Analytic Treatment: Schwarzschild

 Impulsive PN approximation: all precession at pericenter

$$\delta \omega = \frac{6\pi}{c^2} \frac{GM_{BH}}{a(1-e^2)}$$
$$\approx 11.5^{\circ} \left(\frac{\tilde{R}_p}{47}\right)^{-1}$$

• Self-intersection occurs at  $\pi \pm \delta \omega/2$ 

(Stone, Hayasaki & Loeb in prep) 20 R<sub>si</sub> -20δω  $^{\prime}2$  $y/R_g$ -60 1PN -80-100 -80-60 -20-4020 -1000 40  $\mathbf{X}/R_g$ 

# GR versus Hydrodynamic Effective Precession

• Hydrodynamic "precession" competitive for IMBHs





# Delays Due to Lense-Thirring Precession

• Height-normalized misalignment  $\delta z$ : sensitive to  $\beta$ 



## Conclusions

- Rising phase of light curve controlled by both dM/dt and circularization
  - Complicates extraction of stellar structure parameters
- GR precession may produce eccentric disks •  $\beta = 1, M_{BH} < 10^{6} M_{\odot}$  unlikely to efficiently circularize
- GR precession dominates hydrodynamic "precession" for  $M_{BH}$ >10<sup>5</sup> $M_{\odot}$
- Both  $R_{si}$  and  $\delta z/H$  strong function of  $\beta$
- If streams remain vertically self-gravitating, serious delay in circularization for  $\chi_{BH}$ >0.75 (0.3) when  $M_{BH}$ =10<sup>6</sup>  $M_{\odot}$  (10<sup>7</sup>  $M_{\odot}$ )





• In impulsive limit, streams miss each other by:

$$\frac{\delta z}{R_{si}} = \left(2\cos\iota\sin\frac{\delta\omega}{2}\sin^2\frac{\delta\Omega}{2} + \cos\frac{\delta\omega}{2}\sin\delta\Omega\right)^2 + \left(\cos\frac{\delta\omega}{2}(\cos\delta\Omega - 1) - \cos\iota\sin\frac{\delta\omega}{2}\sin\delta\Omega\right)^2$$

![](_page_14_Figure_0.jpeg)

### **TDEs as Stellar Dynamical Probes**

- TDEs offer indirect clues of extragalactic stellar dynamics
  - Rate,  $\beta = R_t/R_p$

#### • Different TDE production mechanisms:

2-body relaxation (full loss cone): low rate, N(β) α β<sup>-1</sup>
2-body relaxation (empty loss cone): low rate, β=1
Triaxial/axisymmetric orbits: high rate, N(β) α β<sup>-1</sup>

• Other mechanisms unlikely to dominate event rate

![](_page_16_Figure_0.jpeg)

# Delays Due to Lense-Thirring Precession

#### • Height-normalized misalignment $\delta z$ : sensitive to $\beta$

![](_page_17_Figure_2.jpeg)

# **Tidal Disruption Basics**

- Tidal radius:  $R_t = R_* \sqrt[3]{M_{BH}} / M_*$
- Only SMBHs with M<sub>BH</sub><10<sup>8</sup> M<sub>☉</sub> can disrupt solar-type stars
   Onless the SMBH is spinning rapidly (Kesden 11)
- Spread in debris energy: Δε ~ GM<sub>BH</sub>R<sub>\*</sub> / R<sup>2</sup><sub>t</sub>
   Independent of R<sub>p</sub> (Stone+13, Guillochon & Ramirez-Ruiz 13)
- Lightcurve often assumed to follow:  $L \propto \dot{M} \propto t^{-5/3}$ 
  - At early times, numerical models for dM/dt necessary (Lodato+09, Guillochon & Ramirez-Ruiz 13)
- Strength of tidal encounter defined by penetration factor β=R<sub>t</sub>/R<sub>p</sub>
   1<β<47 for SMBHs; equivalently 1<R<sub>p</sub>/R<sub>g</sub><47</li>

![](_page_19_Figure_0.jpeg)

### Numerical Methods

- SPH code developed by Okazaki+ 02, based on Benz 90, Bate+ 95
- Initialize polytropic star ( $\gamma = 5/3$ ) at  $3R_t$
- Simulate disruption of e=1, e=0.98, e=0.8 orbits with
  - Newtonian potential
  - Pseudo-Newtonian potential (Wegg 12)

# Tidal Disruption Physics

- Tidal radius:  $R_t = R_* \sqrt[3]{M_{BH}} / M_*$
- Spread in debris energy:  $\Delta \varepsilon \sim \frac{GM_{BH}R_*}{R^2}$
- Return time for most tightly bound debris:

$$t_{fall} \sim 20 \min \left(\frac{M_{BH}}{10^6 M_{sun}}\right)^{5/2} \left(\frac{R_p}{3R_s}\right)^3 \left(\frac{R_*}{R_{sun}}\right)^{-3/2}$$

- Lightcurve often assumed to follow:  $L \propto \dot{M} \propto t^{-5/3}$
- Disk SED multicolor blackbody, peaked in UV/soft X-ray

# **Stages of Tidal Disruption**

- I: approximate hydrostatic equilibrium
- II: tidal free fall, vertical collapse
- III: maximum compression, bounce
- IV: rebound/expansion
- V: pericenter return, circularization
- VI: accretion

![](_page_22_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_9.jpeg)

(Evans & Kochanek 89)